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## General

A total of 7 candidates sat this paper and I am pleased to report that all achieved the pass mark of $40 \%$ with two candidates scoring very highly indeed, achieving $70 \%$.

Only one paper was used so each candidate were given a NAWI, Composite Measure, Average Quantity, and Catchweigher.

The NAWI scenario question was the best answered with an average of 17 out of 25 . It was clear that all candidates apart from one had really practiced this question. Graphs of linearity and hysteresis were good, and I was pleased to see the whole page being used in most cases.

The composite measure proved the most challenging with the range of marks for this question going from 0 to 22 (out of 25). The average was 9 . This question is probably one of the most straightforward, but it was clear that those candidates who scored poorly had never practiced. Identification of equipment was very poor: not one candidate wrote down the details from the standard.

The Average Quantity question was quite poorly answered by some candidates, especially as a lot of the information was provided and only a little calculation was required. In the main, the histograms were of a high standard. The average mark for this question was 16.

The Catchweigher question was answered consistently well by all candidates, however a couple decided to hedge their bets when stating what marks would be applied. Despite producing a set of results, it was decided by some to say 'if passed, I would apply the conformity assessment marks, and if it didn't, I would not apply any marks'. But there was a set of results clearly showing a pass or a fail and candidates should reach a conclusion based on the data produced. The average mark for this question was 15 .

